

MAYRAFFE AT THE FAIR.

ORITANT LADIES MAY NOW SEE CHANCES WITHOUT FEAR.

Deacon Harris, who is one of the Governors of the Field Club, is credited with maintaining a happy compromise. HACKENSACK, Nov. 13.—The fair has won, and Oritant Field Club women are free to sell diamonds, jewelry, clothes, watches, and other valuable articles without the fear of prosecution for felony that has hung over them for several days. The decision was reached late last night.

The Rev. Herman Vandewater, the pastor of the First Reformed Church, is by many supposed to be at the head of the movement to stop raffing at the Oritant Field Club fair, and Charles H. Harris is a deacon in the same church. Mr. Harris is a stock broker, and one of the Governors of the field club. He is a man of wealth, philanthropic, and among the more respected citizens of Hackensack. He did not consider it fair to spring the anti-raff law on the field club on the very eve of the fair, although personally well known to be opposed to games of chance, and he refused to sign one of the petitions printed in the Sun yesterday, asking the Board of Governors of the club to prohibit the raffing.

The Rev. Mr. Vandewater took exception to this attitude of his deacon, and at the close of the afternoon evangelistic service in the Presbyterian Church the two "had it out," the preaching being interrupted by a quarrel between the two men with vigor and repulsed the charge.

This and many other controversies added unusual zest to life in Hackensack during the day.

Thus the leading topic in the town was the "quarrel on Oritant," with the sermon by the Rev. J. C. Voorhis on Sunday evening against Evangelist Marshall in second place, and the revival a close third. Last night the first Reformed Church was again packed to hear Dr. Marshall, the speaker being given by his sharp wit and score the Rev. Mr. Voorhis (without naming him) for what was deemed an unprovoked and ill-timed denunciation of the fair.

At this meeting was in progress Deacon Harris, a representative of the anti-raffing petitioners, left the church with a batch of petitions, and the following letter addressed to the Board of Governors of the field club:

GENTLEMEN: The papers this morning contained news of the hearing of the anti-raffing petitioners, and the following letter addressed to the Board of Governors of the field club:

We assure you that such is not our intention, but to the field club, and the following letter addressed to the Board of Governors of the field club:

HER WEDDING PRESENTS HER.

Tiffany & Co. Sent Them to Her Husband and Must Pay Her for Them.

Myrtle H. Hart obtained a verdict of \$1,030, with interest and costs of about \$200 more, before Justice Barrett of the Supreme Court yesterday against Tiffany & Co., because the firm had refused to return to her husband, Mr. Hart, a watch and jewelry which she had given them as a wedding present, which she had committed to them on storage, to her husband, Joshua H. Hart, of Charleston, from whom she had separated.

The point was raised, without avail, that by the law of South Carolina wedding presents belong to the husband of the wife, not to the wife.

Mrs. Hart sent in with Julian Nathan, a brother of the late Washington Nathan, she married Hart in this city on March 27, 1884.

Her maiden name was Jackson, and her father was a member of the New York Stock Exchange.

She was living at 14 East 125th street on July 1, 1891, when she sent the trunk to Tiffany & Co., without the knowledge of her husband.

He learned of the transaction from a friend, and immediately wrote to Tiffany & Co., asking them to return the trunk to him, saying that he had concluded to remain permanently in Charleston. On Feb. 8, 1892, they sent him the trunk. The firm did not know of the loss of the trunk.

Mrs. Hart testified that she had letters in the trunk which she intended to use in an action for divorce against her husband. She also had other papers from her husband, and, fearing that they would be lost, she had them put in the trunk.

At the trial, Justice Barrett found in favor of Mrs. Hart, and she was awarded the trunk and the contents thereof, valued at \$1,030, with interest and costs of about \$200 more.

At HIS MOTHER'S FUNERAL.

Arrest of Bookkeeper Abreside After Two Years—Charged with Forgery.

Gustave Graffmuller, junior partner in the firm of Max Adams & Co., manufacturers of conserves, at 372 Greenwich street, appeared at the Sixty-seventh street station about 1 P. M. yesterday and showed a post office receipt for a warrant which had been drawn up in September, 1892, by Judge Lippincott of the Court of Sessions, Jersey City, calling for the apprehension of Jacob C. Abreside, bookkeeper for the firm.

Mr. Graffmuller explained that the bookkeeper had been wanted for forgery for the past two years during which he had succeeded in eluding the police.

On Monday, Mr. Graffmuller said, he learned that Abreside's mother had died. He thought that Abreside would be present at the funeral, which was to take place at 1 o'clock from her residence, 100 West 11th street, New York City.

The body was to be taken to Fresh Pond, L. I., for cremation. Mr. Graffmuller said, he had accompanied Abreside to the funeral, and he had seen him. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

At the funeral, Mr. Graffmuller said, he had seen Abreside, and he had seen him at the funeral. He had seen him at the funeral, and he had seen him at the funeral.

DELINQUENT POLICEMEN.

Two Broken and Nine Fined—Lewin With Kelly Not Believed.

Patrolman John Fitzgibbon of the West Thirtieth street station was fined thirty days' pay by the Police Board yesterday for improper patrolling and using vile and threatening language to his roundsman.

Patrolman Walter Lawson of the East Sixty-seventh street station was dismissed from the force. He was found guilty of being in a liquor store, there having been fourteen complaints against him in one year.

Patrolman John J. Delin of the West Thirtieth street station was fined fifteen days' pay for assaulting John Gilmarin.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

Patrolman Joseph F. Bush of the Fifth street station was fined twenty days' pay for failing to answer a summons to appear in court.

TENEMENT HOUSE PERILS.

GOV. FLOWER'S COMMISSION INVESTIGATES A FATAL FIRE.

It Has Been Making Frequent Inquiries About Tenement Life and Is Taking a Census of Children of School Age.

The Tenement House Commission appointed by Gov. Flower held its first public hearing yesterday afternoon in one of the old General Sessions Court rooms. The Commission consists of Richard Watson Gilman, Chairman; Roger Foster, Solomon Moses, Dr. Cyrus Edson, John P. Schuchman, William D. H. Washington, and George B. Post. For five months the Commission has been making personal investigations of the scope of the inquiry included in its name. The Commission has also made one or two tenement house construction but also the social and economic conditions of tenement house life, with special reference to the children and their moral and physical environment.

A census of the children of school age is now being made under the direction of the Commission by Prof. Giddings of Columbia College and his class in sociology, comprising about twenty-five students. The census is being made in two quarters on the east side, one people chiefly by Italians and the other by Russians. The census will be made in two quarters on the east side, one people chiefly by Italians and the other by Russians.

One of the problems which claimed the attention of the Commissioners was that of providing a better means of safety against fatal fires in tenement houses. On Oct. 30, a fire broke out in a tenement house at 210 West Thirty-second street, and it was about that time that the Commission began taking testimony yesterday.

Chairman Gilman asked the questions, with frequent hints from his associates.

David M. King, the commission's architectural expert, introduced a plan which he had made of the Thirty-second street building. It was of ordinary old-fashioned tenement construction.

He testified that he arrived at the fire three minutes after the alarm, which was given at 3:12 P. M. He found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.

He testified that he found a fire in the cellar, extending upward.